## United States Patent and Trademark Office

	OIPE	\
(P	SEP 2 8 2001	هٔ د
(E)	TA ADEMARK OF	7

PIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTY. DOCKET NO. 09/786105 SHIPMAN VGEN.P-005 INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. OPPEDAHL AND LARSON LLP P O BOX 5068 DILLON, CO 80435 5068 PCT/CA99/01177 LA. FILING DATE PRIORITY DATE

MADEMARK				10 DEC 99	11 DEC 98		
	NOTHICATION		Į.	DATE MALLED 2	APR 2001	1 .	
	NOTIFICATION OF MIS STATES	SING REQUIREM	TENTS UNDER 3	35 U.S.C. 371 IN	THE UNITED		
	1. The following items have been a Office as a Designated C  U.S. Basic National Fer  Copy of the international Oath or Declaration of i	ubmitted by the applicant office (37 CFR 1.494) []:	t or the IB to the Unite g an Elected Office (ication of Small Entity unstation of the internal instation of Article 19	E (DO/EO/US)  ad States Patent and Tr  37 CFR 1.495):  7 Status.  tional application into	rademark		
	□ Priority Document.     □ The International Prelim     □ Translation of Annexes	inary Examination Report to the International Prelim	rt in English and its Ar ninary Examination Re	port into English.			mT
•	Applicant has requested early per the indicated items in paragraph 3 belonior to 20 or 30 months from the pri U.S. Basic National Fee.	ority date to avoid aband	onment.  y of the international a	ne international application.	ation must be filed.	14	
	<ol> <li>The following items MUST be fur acceptance under 35 U.S.C. 371:</li> </ol>	nished within the period	set forth below in orde	of to complete the requ	litemeira for		
	a. I ranslation of the appl	ication into English. A poriate 20 or 30 months fro on is defective for the re	processing fee will be a	equired if submitted	#1 · U .		
	b. Processing fee for prov appropriate 20 or 30 c. Oath or declaration of the application (prefe	iding the translation of the months from the priority he inventors, in compliant	ne application and/or the date (37 CFR 1.492) acc with 37 CFR 1.492	he Annexes later than f)). 7(a) and (b), properly	the	·	
•	surcharge will be red date.  The current oath or o	uired if submitted later to	han the appropriate 20	ind international filing or 30 months from the	date). A !	1.	•
. •	O. Surcharge for providing     priority date (37 CFR     Additional claim fees of \$     claim fee, are required. Applicant must	the oath or declaration I.  1.492(e)).  as a large entity	ater than the appropria	te 20 or 30 months fro	om the		
	due (37 CFR 1.492(g)). See attached P  5. [7] Applicant has not submitted the re PCT/DO/EO/920.	•					
	ALL OF THE ITEMS SET FORTH I MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF TE THE PRIORITY DATE FOR THE AI RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABAN	DIX TO LETON -	BOVE MUST BE SU OR 32 MONTHS (w EVER IS LATER, 1	BMITTED WITHIN here 37 CFR 1.495 a FAILURE TO PROP	TWO (2) pplies) FROM ERLY	1	
	The time period set above may be extend 1.136(a).						
	<ol> <li>If box 3a or 3c is checked, a translating Annexes will be cancelled. A processing 7. ☐ The Article 19 amendments are can 30 (37 CFR 1.495(d)) months from the</li> </ol>	celled times a supplicable.	be submitted no later bimitted later than 20 ( was not provided by t	than the time period s or 30 months from the the appropriate 20 (37	et above or the priority date.  CFR 1.494(d))		
	Applicant is reminded that any communic address given in the heading and include t	ation to the United States he U.S. application no. s	Patent and Trademark hown above. (37 CFR	c Office must be maile 1.5)	d to the		
	A copy of this	notice MUST be	eturned with th	is response			三川
	☐ PTO-875	Notice of Defective PCT/DO/EO/920	e i ransiation .			1	A STATE OF THE STA
DEDODTINA	FORM PCT/DO/EO/905 (March 2001)		Pat Booker, Telephone: (703)3	Paralegal 05-3738	III SEP	1 4 2001	
REPORTING LE			- (/ 03/3	······································	1	م النياب	
COMPUTER DO		-		,	-		10
PAPER DOCKET		<u></u>					W



VGEN.P-055-WO PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Shipman

Serial No.:

09/786,105

Filed:

26 February 2001

For:

Method and Kit for the Characterization of Antibiotic-Resistance Mutations in

Mycobacterium tuberculosis

## RESPONSE TO NOTICE REGARDING MISSING REQUIREMENTS

Responsive to the Notice Regarding Missing Requirements allegedly mailed on April 2, 2001 for the above-captioned application, Applicants enclose a sequence listing diskette and a paper copy of the sequence listing for the above-referenced application. The undersigned certifies that the contents of the paper copy and the diskette are the same. Please enter the paper copy of the sequence listing at the appropriate location in the application.

Applicants request any extension of time which may be deemed necessary for the response to be deemed timely, and authorize the Commissioner to charge the fee to Deposit Account No. 15-0610. However, Applicants respectfully submit that in the circumstances, no fee should be required.

Applicants' attorneys never received the mailing of the Notice of Missing Requirements. The file jackets related to this application and all counterparts thereof have been checked and the paper was not found in any of the files. In view of the fact that the Patent Office incorrectly entered the attorney docket number, the file jackets for all files with the incorrect attorney docket number were also checked, and the paper was not found. When mailed is received, it is opened, and all docketable items are entered into a paper docket listing for the date on which they are due. A check of this paper docket listing for June 2, 2001 and for October 2, 2001 shows no entry for the above-file under either the correct or the incorrect file number.

I hereby certify that this paper and the attachments named herein are being deposited with the United States Postal Service by first class mail, postage pre-paid, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks on September 17, 2001

September 17, 2001

Date of Signature

Marina T. Larson, PTO # 32,038

## VGEN.P-055-WO PATENT APPLICATION

(Exhibit A) The file would next be passed to computer docketing for entry of the docket entry in the computerized system. A print out of the history for the relevant file shows that no docket entry was made. (Exhibit B).

Applicants learned that a Notice of Missing Requirements was issued through a routine status check of the PAIR system on August 27, 2001. A telephone call was placed on that day to the PCT Office at the USPTO and a message was left. (Exhibit C). This call was not returned. A further call was placed in September 6, 2001 at which time Applicants were informed that the missing requirement was the sequence listing, and told that a copy of the paper would be faxed. On September 7 and September 10, 2001, follow-up calls were made requesting that a copy of the paper be faxed. Finally, on September 14, 2001, a faxed copy of the Notice of Missing Requirements was received.

Applicants submit that they should not be required to pay any extension fee under these circumstances. Had Applicants failed to perform the status check prior to October 2, 2001, the application would have been abandoned. The showing above would have been sufficient however to obtain reinstatement of the application (MPEP § 711.03(c)II) and the extension fee would not have been required. Thus, charging the extension fee to Applicants in the present case would be to penalize them for being vigilant and maintaining a status watch on the application and responding prior to the end of the period for response to the Notice of Missing Requirements.

Respectfully submitted,

Marina T. Larson

PTO Reg. No. 32,038

Attorney for Applicant

(970) 468-6600